

Bid evaluation report

All records

RFQS IN FILE
1
12 score rows in total

BIDDERS ON LARGEST RFQ
3
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 vol



LEADING BIDDER
Brightcut Engineerin
242 weighted points · next 225

PRICE SPREAD
22.7 %
\$84,300 low → \$103,400 high


NON-COMPLIANT BIDS
1
Apex Laser Ltd — cannot be awarded

VALUE FOR MONEY
Brightcut Engineerin
index 2.65 — points per 1,000 of price, compliant bids only


12 of 12 record(s) included. All records. Amounts in USD.



Each bar is a bidder's total, split into commercial, technical, risk and service points. Two similar totals built from very different parts are not similar bids. Each bar is a bidder's total, split into commercial, technical, risk and service points. Two similar totals built from very different parts are not similar bids.



The cheapest bar is not the best bid until the score under it says so. A small price gap and a large score gap — or the reverse — is the whole decision in one picture. The cheapest bar is not the best bid until the score under it says so. A small price gap and a large score gap — or the reverse — is the whole decision in one picture.



The evaluation matrix

CRITERION (WEIGHT)	BRIGHTCUT ENGINEERING	CUTFORM PRECISION	APEX LASER LTD
Unit price (w10)	8 × 10 = 80	5 × 10 = 50	10 × 10 = 100
Lead time (w7)	8 × 7 = 56	7 × 7 = 49	6 × 7 = 42
Quality system (w8)	8 × 8 = 64	9 × 8 = 72	3 × 8 = 24
Capacity headroom (w6)	7 × 6 = 42	9 × 6 = 54	6 × 6 = 36
Quoted price	\$91,150.00	\$103,400.00	\$84,300.00
Compliant with specification	Yes	Yes	No
Total weighted score	242	225	202

Award summary

BIDDER	TOTAL	RANK	QUOTED PRICE	SCORE / PRICE	COMPLIANT	DECISION	EVALUATOR
Brightcut Engineering	242	1	\$91,150.00	2.65	Yes	Winner	M. Okafor
Cutform Precision	225	2	\$103,400.00	2.18	Yes	Shortlist	M. Okafor
Apex Laser Ltd	202	3	\$84,300.00	2.40	No	Rejected	M. Okafor

Evaluation register

RFQ	BIDDER	CRITERION	GROUP	WEIGHT	SCORE	PRICE	COMPLIANCE	EVALUATOR	DATE	DECISION	WEIGHTED
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Apex Laser Ltd	Unit price	Commercial	10	10	84,300.00	No	M. Okafor	2026-07-28	Rejected	100
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Apex Laser Ltd	Lead time	Service	7	6	0.00	No	M. Okafor	2026-07-28	Rejected	42
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Apex Laser Ltd	Quality system	Technical	8	3	0.00	No	J. Lindqvist	2026-07-29	Rejected	24
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Apex Laser Ltd	Capacity headroom	Technical	6	6	0.00	No	J. Lindqvist	2026-07-29	Rejected	36
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Brightcut Engineering	Unit price	Commercial	10	8	91,150.00	Yes	M. Okafor	2026-07-28	Winner	80
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Brightcut Engineering	Lead time	Service	7	8	0.00	Yes	M. Okafor	2026-07-28	Winner	56
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Brightcut Engineering	Quality system	Technical	8	8	0.00	Yes	J. Lindqvist	2026-07-29	Winner	64
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Brightcut Engineering	Capacity headroom	Technical	6	7	0.00	Yes	J. Lindqvist	2026-07-29	Winner	42
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Cutform Precision	Unit price	Commercial	10	5	103,400.00	Yes	M. Okafor	2026-07-28	Shortlist	50
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract,	Cutform Precision	Lead time	Service	7	7	0.00	Yes	M. Okafor	2026-07-28	Shortlist	49

RFQ	BIDDER	CRITERION	GROUP	WEIGHT	SCORE	PRICE	COMPLIANCE	EVALUATOR	DATE	DECISION	WEIGHTED
2026 volumes											
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Cutform Precision	Quality system	Technical	8	9	0.00	Yes	J. Lindqvist	2026-07-29	Shortlist	72
RFQ-88 — Laser cutting subcontract, 2026 volumes	Cutform Precision	Capacity headroom	Technical	6	9	0.00	Yes	J. Lindqvist	2026-07-29	Shortlist	54

Notes

Sample evaluation — replace every score with your own before relying on it or circulating it.

This matrix documents an evaluation; it does not perform one. The totals are only as sound as the criteria chosen, the weights fixed before the bids were opened, and the honesty of the scores — scores entered after a favourite has already been chosen are theatre, and auditors can tell, because the evidence column will not support them. The value-for-money index compares bids within one enquiry only, and nothing here is procurement, legal or financial advice.